

demands, which she would excuse saying, " You.
 will sa\,
 Master Ambassador, the tale I told \ ou is mere
 trifling see
 what it is to conduct affairs with old \omen
 sach as I am "
 She said also to him that she had long hands,
 botn by natare
 and by power, for, quoth she, °anne fa* lo~iga:
 regibu: e*se
 manus ** whereat she took off her gloves, showing
 her Lands*
 bnger than the ambassador's by three thiCK
 fingers

ijtk December Two LONDON CRIMES

The body of Mr Richard Anger, a double reader
 of Gray's
 Inn, that hath been missed almost a month, was
 lately found
 floating in the Thames, and being viewed by
 certain sbiful
 surgeons it is thought he was not drowned in the
 water but
 stifled or murthered, and after thrown into the
 Thames, which
 by other conjectures is greatly to be suspected
 There are
 great presumptions against one of his sons,
 Richard Anger, and
 Edward Ingram, the porter of Gray's Inn
 Forasmuch as the
 fact is so horrible that an ancient gentleman
 should be murdered
 *n his chamber, these two are to be examined
 very strictly, and
 if they cannot be brought to confess the truth,
 then shall they
 be put to the manacles in Bridewell

There is also one Richard Remchin, a
 gentleman, that hath
 long used the clipping of coin, and upon search
 there is found
 in a house of his in Fetter Lane a great quantity of
 clippings and
 coin clipped, to the sum of .£20 in gold This man
 s goods are
 to be seized and kept in the Tower until he hath
 been convicted,
 when they shall be converted to the Queen's use

*20th December** A DISPXTTE CONCERNING
 PROCEDURE.

The Parliament stands adjourned over
 Christmas until the
 nth January at 8 o'clock m the morning.

Some days since a Bill was sent up to the Lords

and by them
passed with amendment of one word and so sent
down to the
Lower House, where it was found that the
amendment had been
affixed to the Bill and ingrossed in parchment
with the words
* *sott 'bailie aux Communes'* contrary to
precedent Wherefore
the House caused the Bill to be returned to the
Lords for
amendment, saying that they had no warrant to
take notice of
that amendment because it was in parchment
and not in paper.
To-day the Lords answer that they do not expect
an exception